Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/584,652	PERROT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Crutchfield	2466	

All Participants:

(1) *Christopher Crutchfield*.

(2) *Tomas Jackson, Reg. No. 29,808*.

Status of Application: *After Final Rejection*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *3 November 2010*

Time: *3:00 PM*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claims 1 and 7 were amended to correct minor informalaties under 35 USC 112, 2nd Paragraph.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Christopher Crutchfield/
Examiner, Art Unit 2466

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)